

The significance of companies' cluster membership for their competitive potential - the case of furniture cluster in Wielkopolska

M. Gorynia,

Poznan University of Economics, Poland
Al. Niepodleglosci 10, 60-967 Poznan, Poland
Phone: ++ 48 61 856 93 61; Fax: ++48 61 854 39 87
E-mail: m.gorynia@ae.poznan.pl

B. Jankowska

Poznan University of Economics, Poland
Al. Niepodleglosci 10, 60-967 Poznan, Poland
E-mail: barbara.jankowska@ae.poznan.pl

Abstract

Globalisation, which manifests itself as an increasing market harmonisation - i.e. deregulation and liberalisation of international transfer of goods, services, and factors of production - increases the significance of the company's immediate environment for its market success, a fact which may seem paradoxical. These phenomena escalate business entities' propensity for concentration: firms conducting similar activities concentrate in certain countries, regions or locations. Among other things, this manifests itself in the creation of clusters. Porter defines clusters as: "geographic concentrations of interconnected companies, specialized suppliers, service providers, firms in related industries (e.g. universities, standards agencies, trade associations) in a particular field that compete but also cooperate." That means that all functions of a value chain are distributed and represented by a number of enterprises and other organizations within a region that are linked by commonalities and complementarities.

Interest in cluster issues can be observed nowadays not just in the "old" but also in the "new" EU countries, including Poland. However the OECD states that nothing has been done in Poland to promote clusters. The discussion of clusters in the economies of countries which have undergone economic and systemic transformation, especially Poland, is rather limited.

The paper starts with a brief review of possible channels of a cluster's influence on the competitiveness of companies-cluster members. Sources of the competitive advantage of companies which constitute a cluster lie, on the one hand, in their competitive potential, and on the other, in their immediate environment, which is typical of the viewpoint put forward by Porter. A company's activity within a cluster enables it to use both approaches to the building of a competitive advantage, and the cluster itself enhances their complementary character. A closer analysis of the advantages of cluster membership suggests that the most common area of a desired influence is company competitive potential. Cluster membership first of all expands, transforms and gives a new quality to elements of company competitive potential.

The authors attempt to present what has been found so far on the subject of clusters in Poland, with particular emphasis laid on a furniture cluster in the region of Wielkopolska. The empirical research conducted by the present authors among members of the furniture cluster in Wielkopolska was preceded by relevant literature

studies. Using data presented in the literature and professional press, and the results of their empirical research, the authors describe Wielkopolska's furniture cluster, with special emphasis laid on the significance of companies' cluster membership for their competitive potential, in other words, a set of those features of the company which are crucial to the implementation of a given strategy and achievement of a desired position in the market (amongst its rivals). The empirical research was carried out in July and August 2006. The tool used in the research was a questionnaire consisting of 21 scaled questions, grouped according to subject into six parts. The research involved 31 companies. The largest group was that of companies which are part of the furniture industry broadly understood – class 36 of the Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community - Nace Rev.1.1.

JEL Classification numbers:

L11; L14; L68

Keywords:

cluster, competitiveness, competitive potential, firm, Poland, Wielkopolska, furniture cluster, spillover effect

Acknowledgements

The paper presents some results of the project „The role of clusters in supporting international competitiveness and internationalization of Polish companies” financed by the State Committee for Scientific Research No (1 H02D 103 28).

1. Introduction

Globalisation, which manifests itself as an increasing market harmonisation - i.e. deregulation and liberalisation of international transfer of goods, services, and factors of production - increases the significance of the company's immediate environment for its market success, a fact which may seem paradoxical. These phenomena escalate business entities' propensity for concentration: firms conducting similar activities concentrate in certain countries, regions or locations. Among other things, this manifests itself in the creation of clusters. Porter defines clusters as: “geographic concentrations of interconnected companies, specialized suppliers, service providers, firms in related industries (e.g. universities, standards agencies, trade associations) in a particular field that compete but also cooperate (Porter 1998, s. 197).” That means that all functions of a value chain are distributed and represented by a number of enterprises and other organizations within a region that are linked by commonalities and complementarities.

The discussion of clusters in the economies of countries which have undergone economic and systemic transformation, especially Poland, is rather limited. This is why the present authors attempt to present what has been found so far on the subject of clusters in Poland, with particular emphasis laid on a furniture cluster in the region of Wielkopolska. The discussion starts with a brief review of possible channels of a cluster's influence on the competitiveness of companies-cluster members. Next, using data presented in the literature and professional press, and the results of their empirical research, the authors describe Wielkopolska's furniture cluster, with special emphasis laid on the significance of companies' cluster membership for their competitive potential, in other words, a set of those features of the company which are crucial to the

implementation of a given strategy and achievement of a desired position in the market (amongst its rivals)..

2. Competitive potential of a firm – the area of cluster's influence

The question of how clusters influence the competitiveness of the companies that constitute it bothers many a researcher. Sources of the competitive advantage of companies which constitute a cluster lie, on the one hand, in their competitive potential, and on the other, in their immediate environment, which is typical of the viewpoint put forward by Porter. A company's activity within a cluster enables it to use both approaches to the building of a competitive advantage, and the cluster itself enhances their complementary character. The most common area of a desired influence is company competitive potential. Cluster membership first of all expands, transforms and gives a new quality to elements of company competitive potential.

The competitive potential is the enterprise's relative (i.e. referred to its rivals' abilities) capability to compete in the future; in other words this is competitiveness possible to be achieved. The structure and use of competitive potential is described by a competitive strategy, planned or intended. Competitive potential of an enterprise can have a narrow and broad meaning. In the narrow meaning of the term the competitive potential is all the resources used or available to be used by an enterprise (Godziszewski, 1999, p. 77-110, Grabowski, 1994, p. 178). Resources can be classified into three groups (Godziszewski, 1999, p. 83-84):

1. primary resources,
2. secondary resources,
3. performance resources.

Primary resources is the entrepreneur's philosophy and the possibilities to gather in an enterprise the know-how and other resources (indispensable capital). Secondary resources include: material factors of production (fixed assets, raw materials, semi-products and exploitation means), human resources, innovations, distribution channels, enterprise organisation and information resources. Performance resources are understood as : image (particularly brand awareness), customer loyalty and customers' unwillingness to switch to other brands.

In a wider meaning of the term, the firm's competitive potential includes the following elements (Gorynia, Otta, 1998, 105-120):

1. corporate culture,
2. firm's resources (broadly understood),
3. organisational structure,
4. strategic vision of an enterprise,
5. unique behaviour (process of creating strategy).

Corporate culture defines which ways of economic behaviour are preferred by the owners, managers and employees. In some enterprises priority is given to novelties. In others conservative behaviour dominates. Some enterprises take risks willingly, others – extremely reluctantly. Generally speaking, corporate culture in some firms favours competitive (e.g. entrepreneurial) behaviour while in others such culture does not exist.

The firms' resources determine the scope of its activities in the economic and social environment . The volume of resources may limit the scale of operation. Their flexibility and mobility may change the firm's position in its environment. Broadly understood, a firm's resources include human resources, technological, material, and financial resources as well as intangibles (e.g. reputation). Resources available for an

enterprise reduce the set of behaviours possible under given environmental conditions to the set of feasible behaviours. The volume, character and allocation of the firm's resources also influence its possibilities to gain competitive advantage.

Organisation of an enterprise determines whose preferences will be of greater or smaller significance in the firm. The organisational structure of the firm includes: division of authority, division of labour and communication network.

Moreover, the real behaviour of an enterprise is influenced by its strategic vision (sometimes the formal strategic plan) which determines its objectives, mission and behaviour. The importance of this vision depends on whether it is clear, supported by internal and external authorities, based on experience and possible to be implemented.

The strategy of an enterprise emerges from the strategy-creating process. It consists of two sub-processes – the process of formulating a strategic vision (plan) and the process of putting the vision (plan) into practice. Particular enterprises have their own research, planning and performance routines. External and internal factors are responsible for the fact that enterprises are more or less willing to change the set of routines used. Moreover, the external and internal factors are responsible for the fact that the firm's behaviour gets closer to the planned course (effective implementation of a clear strategic vision) or drifts away (either due to the lack of a clear strategic vision or inability to implement it).

A very complex, detailed structure of the competitive potential (competitiveness) is suggested in the studies supervised by M.J. Stankiewicz (Godziszewski, 1999, p. 79-82). Eleven functional-resource spheres and 91 elements constituting those spheres were differentiated within the competitive potential.

2. Knowledge spillover effects as a symptom of the cluster's influence on company competitiveness

The question of how clusters influence the competitiveness of the companies that constitute it bothers many a researcher. Sources of the competitive advantage of companies which constitute a cluster lie, on the one hand, in their competitive potential, and on the other, in their immediate environment, which is typical of the viewpoint put forward by Porter. A company's activity within a cluster enables it to use both approaches to the building of a competitive advantage, and the cluster itself enhances their complementary character. On the basis of empirical data, Audretsch (1998, p. 18-29) and Porter (1998, p. 213-225) have demonstrated that a geographical concentration of rivals increases company competitiveness, stimulating innovativeness, company growth and new entries into the cluster.

It seems, that the most common area of a desired influence is company competitive potential. Cluster membership first of all expands, transforms and gives a new quality to elements of company competitive potential.

The issue of spillover effects and their relationship with the location factor was raised by Marshall, who indicated that one of the objects of a spillover is knowledge. Knowledge spillover effects are an inseparable element of a cluster. This spillover can occur even if relations between companies are non-existent. It should be pointed out at this point that in the subject literature we can find two different views on whether relations among companies enhance the influence of spillover effects on company innovativeness and growth. The view represented by Marshall, Arrow (1962, p. 155-173) and Romer (1986, p. 1002-1037) is characterised by a positive attitude towards

monopoly, which, in their opinion, is conducive to increased innovativeness and growth. Monopoly enables a dominating company to maximise the return on innovations, which is impossible in a competitive environment. On the other hand, Porter emphasises the significance of local competition for innovativeness and stimulation of knowledge spillover effects. Continuing Porter's argument, it could be stated that knowledge within a cluster is determined by interrelations among companies operating in the same location Henry, Pinch (2002, p. 137-169.). Storper (1993, p. 433-456; 1995, p. 191-221) similarly explains that the acquisition of knowledge occurs thanks to relationships among companies which have nothing to do with a market exchange typical of knowledge acquisition through licensing, alliances or takeovers.

Attempts are even made to build a knowledge-based theory of regional geographic clusters Maskell (2001, p. 921-943), Morgan (1997, p. 491-503). Maskell (2001, p. 921-943) finds the key cause of cluster creation in the fact that companies appreciate it that such solutions generate knowledge. There are even instances of creating a "community of practice", characterised by its own identity and certain specificity Brown, Duguid (2001, p. 198-213). By operating within this "community", companies develop their know-how and, thanks to the relations existing among them, share tacit knowledge with each other. Cluster-level knowledge is similar to industry routines, recipes for success and how to perform particular activities. While studying Taiwanese high-tech companies, Tsai (2005, p. 126-127) found that intra- and inter-industrial spillover effects in the field of R&D have a greater significance from the viewpoint of production growth than individual companies' efforts in the field of R&D do.

3. Poland's experiences with regard to cluster initiatives

Interest in cluster issues can be observed nowadays not just in the "old" but also in the "new" EU countries, including Poland. However the OECD states that nothing has been done in Poland to promote clusters OECD (2005, p.5).

In 2004, under the auspices of the Competitiveness Institute, research was carried out into cluster initiatives on a global scale. The research results were presented in the "Green Book of Cluster Initiatives". The list of 238 undertakings of this type which were investigated in 2003 featured one from Poland – Tarnów Industrial Cluster "Plastic Valley". In Poland, it is the Institute for Market Economics (IBnGR) that has devoted a lot of attention to clusters. As early as 2002, the Institute launched a research project to study and determine the possibility and method of exploiting the economic cluster conception with a view to increasing the competitiveness and innovativeness of the Polish economy. The research managed to identify an industrial automation quasi-cluster in Gdansk, a printing cluster in Warsaw and a construction cluster in the Swietokrzyski region. The beginnings of a cluster structure can also be found in the Warsaw agglomeration, in industries such as pharmaceuticals and cosmetics (NACE 24.4, 24.5 and 73.1), electronics, information technology, and telecommunication. These industries require access to well-qualified labour and a technologically advanced scientific base, which justifies their concentration around Poland's capital.

As for the industrial automation quasi-cluster in the Gdansk region, this grew next to the shipbuilding industry, which accounts for a large proportion of Pomerania's exports Szultka, Wojnicka (2003, p. 521-538). Shipyards' core activity seems to have given rise to a sector of companies dealing with industrial automation - approximately sixty companies in all, nearly half of which (22) are manufacturing firms, 19 provide only services in the fields of design and implementation of industrial automation

systems, another seven are manufacturing and services firms, and nine are strictly trading firms. The industry employs about 2,200 people. A great majority of the firms are SMEs (only some of them are micro-firms employing up to 10 people) – only two firms employ more than 500 people.

Results of a questionnaire concerning the work of industrial automation companies in the Gdansk region show the positive attitude of these institutions towards co-operation. Within this quasi-group we can observe the formation of new firms (“spin-offs”), which is conducive to the cluster’s specialisation and increasing self-sufficiency. As a result, these companies maintain a high competitive position which gives them the capacity to compete with strong international companies in the Polish market; in the recent years they have been increasingly often entering foreign markets with their products.

One pro-cluster initiative seems to be the move made by aerospace industry entrepreneurs: on 11 April 2003, they set up the Aviation Valley Association of aerospace-industry entrepreneurs (<http://www.paiz.gov.pl/index/?id=955cb567b6e38f4c6b3f28cc857fc38c>). The majority of companies involved in the project are situated in the Podkarpackie province. The main aim of Aviation Valley is to transform south-eastern Poland into a leading European aerospace-industry region which will provide the most demanding customers with various aerospace-industry products and services. At present, the Aviation Valley Association consists of 36 members based in the region; other candidates are going through the application process. Within the next few years, the association intends to increase the number of members to 100. Last but not least, a study carried out by IBnGR has shown that there is a concentration of furniture companies in the region of Wielkopolska. Findings concerning this cluster will be presented in the next parts of this paper.

4. Furniture cluster in the region of Wielkopolska

4.1 Findings to date

The empirical research conducted by the present authors among members of the furniture cluster in Wielkopolska was preceded by relevant literature studies. The Polish furniture industry is considerably diversified in terms of size, form of ownership, and organisational structure Zarzycka (2005, p. 390). In 2001, as many as 93% of approximately 23,000 businesses were micro-firms. However, the 15 biggest entities accounted for 75% of the sales value. The private sector’s share was 95%, while the share of companies controlled by foreign capital amounted to 50%. In its analysis of clusters’ potential and development opportunities, IBnGR identified a concentration of furniture-industry businesses Report about SME (2001, p. 223-224). For the purposes of the above-mentioned research, IBnGR used the location quotient (LQ), which in Poland was found to have reached the highest value (1.25) in the Wielkopolska province, which is proof of high concentration and specialisation in this field Report about SME (2001, p. 223-224). The furniture sector shows clear connections with raw-materials industries and with industries horizontally connected with timber processing. On the basis of statistical analysis, IBnGR found that at the county level the location of furniture-industry companies (NACE 36.1) is clearly and positively correlated with the location of companies representing industries from lower levels of the value creation chain (NACE 0.20 – forestry and logging). Wielkopolska’s furniture cluster is made up

of furniture companies which, in the field of R&D, co-operate with the Wood Technology Institute of the Poznan University of Agriculture, REMONDEX (a furniture-industry development institute), the Poznan Academy of Fine Arts, and Poznan Technical University. An important role in the cluster is played by the Poznan International Fair. The cluster has an enormous development potential, which results from the furniture industry's outstanding export performance and its extremely positive impact on the volume of Polish exports in general. Information available at the Wielkopolska Centre for Interregional Economic Co-operation, affiliated to the Marshal's Office in Poznan, suggests that companies appreciate the significance of co-operation for improvement in their innovativeness.

Evidence of the existence of a furniture cluster in Wielkopolska includes not only a concentration of the above businesses but also the presence of large, pre-1989 furniture companies which have been divided as a result of economic restructuring. The Wielkopolska region's furniture industry is dominated by micro-firms, which also seems to prove the existence of a pro-cluster environment in the region. Since 1990, this dominance has been clearly visible especially in Wielkopolska's district of Swarzedz. The structure of Wielkopolska's furniture industry, which makes the region a suitable location for a furniture cluster, results from several facts Stryjakiewicz (1999, p. 153):

- weakened competitiveness of businesses in Swarzedz, caused by Poland's furniture industry being taken control of by German investors (we have also seen an inflow of Swedish, American and Swiss capital to the Polish furniture industry),
- increase in timber prices, caused by a higher demand for this raw material and higher imports of the material,
- chance to activate the district of Swarzedz through the development of SMEs, whose activity would be based on tradition, experience and existing connections in the value-added chain.

The local carpentry tradition "goes back to the seventeenth century, and its strongest development took place in the nineteenth century". According to Stryjakiewicz, the characteristic features of the furniture-company cluster in the Swarzedz district are:

- high specialisation within sections,
- high quality of products,
- flexibility to meet customer needs,
- manufacturers' good skills,
- presence of a local entrepreneurship culture.

In spite of this, the early 1990s saw a slump in exports, then in production, which forced companies to limit their production capacity. This was an effect of increased foreign and domestic competition, and of using the wrong development strategy. The strategy was based on an extensive range of traditional products, an own distribution system, and expensive logistics. The network of connections with the environment was developed only to a small extent. Therefore, the development of a cluster initiative in the region seems to be particularly valuable. These needs are met by the initiative named "Support for the Development of the Wielkopolska Furniture-Industry Cluster", implemented as part of the Integrated Operation Programme for Regional Development 2005-2007, and financed by the European Social Fund. The project is conducted by Wielkopolska Agencja Rozwoju Przedsiębiorczosci Sp. z o.o. (WARP, or Wielkopolska Entrepreneurship Development Agency). WARP acts as a cluster "broker" responsible for communication and initiation of new projects. So far, WARP has created a database of information about Wielkopolska's furniture companies, selected furniture-industry suppliers and purchasers, research and

development centres, and schools (secondary and tertiary) related to the industry. It has also established contact with approximately a thousand firms, provided them with promotional information on the project, and sent them a questionnaire concerning the cluster initiative. Thirty-seven businesses returned the questionnaire, declaring participation in the cluster initiative.

4.2. Empirical research among members of the furniture-industry cluster

4.2.1. Object of research

The furniture-industry cluster also became an object of the present authors' research.

In the present paper, the authors focus on the significance of cluster-membership for the competitive potential, competitive position and competitive strategy of the companies they investigated in the furniture industry, as well as in related and supporting industries.

4.2.2. Research method

The empirical research into the role played by clusters in supporting international competitiveness and the internationalisation of Polish companies, in particular Wielkopolska's furniture-industry cluster, was carried out in July and August 2006. The main criterion for sample selection was first of all a company's location in the region of Wielkopolska and second, its activity. A key factor was company representatives' consent to participate in the research. The most important thing was to select companies which are based in Wielkopolska and which operate in the furniture industry broadly understood, and in supporting or related industries. Another requirement was for the research to involve companies whose activity covers different links of the value chain: providers of raw materials and components, producers of finished goods, companies dealing only with marketing or the sale of certain products.

An address list of potential questionnaire respondents was prepared in conjunction with the Wielkopolska Entrepreneurship Development Agency (WARP).

The selection criterion for industries to be included in the research was that they should be industries with observable co-operation and internationalisation tendencies, because activity in the international market, which in addition to the domestic market also includes foreign markets, is a test of the company's international competitiveness. When selecting companies for the research, the authors used the most typical case of non-random sampling – deliberate selection, which consisted in a rather formal and subjective selection of items for the sample, with the hope of obtaining information that is as detailed as possible. Above all, company selection was determined by practical considerations – belonging to the industries selected for the research, and company location. The company-selection method that was used has an impact on the interpretation of the results obtained. The sample size (31 companies) and the sample selection method prove its low representativeness. Therefore, the research results cannot be generalised to refer to the whole population since they describe only the situation within the group of companies investigated.

In the research, the authors used the individual in-depth interview method. Selected pre-trained people (students and academics) conducted interviews using a

previously developed questionnaire, which was a basic research tool during the interview. Having conducted the interviews, the research team and the interviewers checked the formal accuracy of the completed questionnaires.

In the next stage of the research, the raw data in the form of completed sheets were subjected to encoding and statistical processing.

4.2.3. Questionnaire

The tool used in the research was a questionnaire consisting of 21 scaled questions, grouped according to subject into six parts. The first part contained questions enabling the respondent generally to characterise the company under study in terms of: employment figures, legal status, percentage of public (including foreign) capital, sales revenue, and financial performance (for the years 2000-2005).

The second part of the questionnaire was titled “A cluster and competitive potential”. Responses to the questions set in this part enabled the authors to determine the reasons why the companies under study are based in Wielkopolska, and the significance of this fact for the size and quality of their competitive potentials.

The aim of the third part of the questionnaire was to identify the relationship between cluster membership and the competitive position and competitive strategy of the firms investigated. Questions focused on the relations which the firms under study enter into so as to determine whether their industries are characterised by co-operation in addition to rivalry. In another part, respondents were requested to answer a question about the consequences of co-operation from the viewpoint of company competitiveness. Next, respondents were asked to indicate co-operation areas.

The fourth part of the questionnaire concerned the relationship between the cluster and company internationalisation. First, respondents were asked about their export involvement – they were requested to specify the share of export sales in their total sales. Next, the companies under study evaluated the significance of co-operation with selected businesses for their internationalisation.

The fifth and sixth parts of the questionnaire concerned the tasks of economic self-government and economic policy instruments, respectively. Respondents were to evaluate many tasks and instruments from these areas in terms of their usefulness and implementation.

4.2.4. Research sample

The research involved 31 companies. The largest group was that of companies which are part of the furniture industry broadly understood – class 36 of the Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community - Nace Rev.1.1. They included especially businesses operating as part of the following sub-classes:

- 36.11. Z – Manufacture of chairs and seats
- 36.12. Z – Manufacture of other office and shop furniture
- 36.13. Z – Manufacture of kitchen furniture
- 36.14 A - Manufacture of other furniture, excluding services
- 36.14. B – Finishing of furniture

In addition to manufacturing and services companies from class 36, the research also involved businesses from related industries and industries supporting the furniture industry, especially those representing the following sub-classes:

52.44.Z - Retail sale of furniture, lighting equipment and household articles
n.e.c.

20.20.Z – Manufacture of veneer sheets, boards and plywood

51.15.Z - Agents involved in the sale of furniture, household goods, hardware
and ironmongery

51.53.A Wholesale of wood

51.18.Z - Agents specialising in the sale of particular products or ranges of
products n.e.c.

51.90.Z – Other wholesale

In terms of the number of employees, the majority of the sample are small
businesses. More detailed information on the number of employees is presented in
Table 1.

Table 1. The number of employees in the companies investigated

Number of employees	Number of companies	Percentage of responses
< 50	2	6.5
50-99	17	55
100-249	6	19
250-499	2	6.5
500-999	1	3.2
1,000 and more	1	3.2
No data available	2	6.5

Source: Own study, based on questionnaire survey

More than a half of the companies under study employ from 50 to 99 people.
The research involved one company employing over 1,000 people.

In terms of legal status, 58% of the firms investigated are sole traders, 29% are commercial code companies, most of which are limited liability companies, but there are also registered partnerships. Approximately 6% of the firms under study have a legal status other than those listed in the questionnaire – civil partnerships. The questionnaire respondents included also two state-owned companies, one of them employing over 250 people. The companies' characteristics in terms of legal status are presented in Table 2. Table 4 shows detailed data, taking into consideration the share of foreign capital in the ownership structure of the group investigated. A characteristic feature of the respondents is not only the dominance of private capital in their ownership structures but also the practical absence of foreign capital. The majority of the companies under study are Polish firms with a 100% share of Polish capital in the ownership structure. The research involved only three institutions with foreign capital, which in one case amounted to 100% (see Table 3).

Table 2. Legal status of the firms investigated

Firm's legal status	Number of firms	Percentage of responses
Commercial code company	9	29.03
State-owned company	2	6.45
Co-operative	0	0.00
Sole trader	18	58.06
Others	2	6.45
No data available	0	0.00
Total	31	100.00

Source: Own study, based on questionnaire survey

Table 3. Percentage share of public capital and foreign capital in the ownership structure

Share of public capital, as %	Number of companies	Share of foreign capital, as %	Number of companies
0	30	0	28
1-10	0	1-10	0
11-24	0	11-24	0
25-49	0	25-49	1
50-74	0	50-74	0
75-99	0	75-99	1
100	1	100	1
Total	31	Total	31

Source: Own study, based on questionnaire survey

Polish companies account for over 96% of the whole group. This by no means reflects the situation on the Polish market. The furniture industry is experiencing a considerable expansion of foreign investors, including German investors, who control 80% of the industry's biggest companies Okrzesik (2001, p. 20). The main aim of the project is to investigate the significance of operating in a real/potential cluster for Polish companies' competitiveness and internationalisation tendencies – this is why such characteristics of the sample seem to be adequate. The majority of the companies selected for the sample were of Polish origin.

As part of sample description, respondents were also asked to provide data concerning the sales revenues and financial performance for the years 2000-2005. However, these questions did not draw a positive response from many respondents, most of whom were reluctant to impart relevant information for the years 2000, 2002, 2004 and 2005. Questions related to financial issues very often meet with a negative reaction from companies – therefore it is difficult to receive answers to them. Tables 4 and 5 present the sales revenues and financial performance, respectively, of those companies that agreed to provide relevant data. Very frequently, respondents gave data only for the years 2004-2005. Only 10 companies, or approximately 30% of the sample, provided full information, stating the value of sales in the years 2000, 2002, 2004 and 2005. The response rate is even poorer in the case of information concerning financial performance, only six companies (less than 20%) having exhaustively answered the question set.

Table 4. Sales revenues for the years 2000-2005

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Number of companies	10	1	10	8	10	1	5	3	3	4

Source: Own study, based on questionnaire survey

A. number of full answers given, i.e. for the years 2000, 2002, 2004 and 2005

B. increase in 2002 on 2000

C. increase in 2004 on 2002

D. increase in 2005 on 2004

E. decrease in 2002 on 2000

F. decrease in 2004 on 2002

G. decrease in 2005 on 2004

H. no change in 2002 on 2000

I. no change in 2004 on 2002

J. no change in 2005 on 2004

The data obtained suggest that especially the 2002-2004 period was one of growth in sales revenues. The majority of the companies that answered this question pointed to growth in sales revenues in just those years. The same period was also the most prosperous in terms of financial performance.

Table 5. Financial performance in the years 2000-2005

	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T
Number of companies	6	3	6	3	3	0	4	3	3	3

Source: Own study, based on questionnaire survey

K. number of full answers given, i.e. for the years 2000, 2002, 2004 and 2005

L. increase in 2002 on 2000

M. increase in 2004 on 2002

N. increase in 2005 on 2004

O. decrease in 2002 on 2000

P. decrease in 2004 on 2002

Q. decrease in 2005 on 2004

R. no change in 2002 on 2000

S. no change in 2004 on 2002

T. no change in 2005 on 2004

4.2.5. Competitive potential of the companies under study

During the research, the authors attempted to identify the channels through which the company's membership of a potential/real cluster influences its competitiveness. The influence is exerted by the specific context in which a company-cluster member operates. The context is closely related to the quantity and quality of the resources, broadly understood, which are available to a cluster member. The issue was covered by questions 6 and 7 of the questionnaire. In question 6, respondents were asked to assess a list of eight reasons why their companies are based in the region of Wielkopolska. Additionally, they could give another reason, not included in the questionnaire. The assessment was made with the use of a five-step scale, starting with 0 – “no significance”, through 1 – “minimal significance”, 2 – “moderate significance”, 3 – “considerable significance”, to 4 – “very considerable significance”. Results for eight of the reasons listed in the questionnaire are between 1 and 2, which suggests that the reasons for locating a company in Wielkopolska which the questionnaire mentions are, at best, of moderate significance. Were we to select the most important one, it would turn out to be proximity of key customers (1.90). Proximity of strategic market rivals ranks second (1.86). However, as many as 77% of the respondents cited as a very significant reason their family running a similar business in Wielkopolska in the past, which the questionnaire did not list explicitly. Representatives of the companies investigated wrote such an answer on their own in the section headed “Other”. Table 6 presents reasons for locating the companies under study in the Wielkopolska region.

Table 6. Significance of reasons for locating the company in Wielkopolska

Reasons	Percentage of responses	A	SD
1. Access to the market in general	90	1.46	1.43

2. Proximity of key customers	94	1.90	1.47
3. Availability of labour	94	1.69	1.23
4. Educational base – schools and professional training institutions	94	1.21	1.35
5. Availability of cheap resources – local suppliers can achieve economies of scale	94	1.31	1.23
6. Availability of specific resources, typical of a given location	94	1.21	1.21
7. Proximity of strategic market rivals – easier observation and benchmarking	94	1.86	1.33
8. Great significance of the local context/environment – interception of local knowledge and information from the environment	94	1.34	1.14
9. Other (e.g. historical determinants, family business).....	77	3.50	0.93
A – Average, SD – Standard Deviation			

Source: Own study, based on questionnaire survey

Also question 7 attempted to identify the influence of a real/potential cluster on company international competitiveness. Companies were asked to estimate the extent to which Wielkopolska's resources and their features satisfy the company's needs. The resources were assessed on a five-step scale, where 0 signifies that given resources do not satisfy company needs at all, 1 – satisfy them minimally, 2 – satisfy them moderately, 3 – satisfy them to a large extent, 4 – satisfy them to a very large extent. The respondents stated that the resources listed satisfy their needs at best to a moderate extent. Personnel availability, skills and costs were assessed best (2.38). The availability and costs of venture capital received the lowest mark (1.07); however, only 90% of the companies investigated expressed their opinion on the issue, compared with as many as 97% of respondents who assessed material resources.

Table 7. Extent to which Wielkopolska's resources satisfy company needs

Resources	Percentage of responses	A	SD
1. Personnel availability, skills and costs	94	2.38	0.98
2. Availability and quality of material resources	97	2.07	1.14
3. Scientific, technical and market knowledge	97	2.00	1.26
4. Availability and cost of venture capital	90	1.07	1.25
5. Quality and cost of infrastructure (including institutions and public goods)	94	1.66	1.17
A – Average, SD – Standard Deviation			

Source: Own study, based on questionnaire survey

5. Conclusion

Analysis of information available in the literature on the beginnings of cluster structures in Poland suggests that there are conditions conducive to the implementation of this idea. However, the research conducted to date shows that the idea of clustering needs more promotion in Poland. As for Polish entrepreneurs, the main cause of their limited willingness and readiness to co-operate is cultural and mental barriers. The research conducted by the authors shows that co-operation is on the one hand perceived by the companies investigated as a competitive game strategy within the confines of their industries, but on the other hand, it is clearly underappreciated. The companies

under study, as indicated by interviews with their representatives, are afraid to enter into co-operative relationships, especially with competitors, but they also do not see the benefits accruing from co-operation with rivals. Also, the majority of the companies surveyed do not see a connection between locally available resources and their competitive position, indicating that the key reason for their doing business in Wielkopolska is that their families conducted a similar activity in the past (a reason referred to as “family business”). In this context, a very important role seems to be played by social capital and the cultural context, which can support or hinder business entities’ endeavours to create cluster structures, which would exploit unique local sources of competitive advantage.

Bibliography

- Arrow, K.J. (1962), The Economic Implications of Learning by Doing. - Review of Economic Studies, 29, June: 155-173.
- Audretsch, D.B. (1998), Agglomeration and the location of innovative activity, Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 14, 2, 18-29.
- Brown, J.S., Duguid, P. (2001), Knowledge and Organization: A social practise perspective, Organization Science, 12, 12, 198-213.
- Godziszewski B. 1999, Potencjal konkurencyjnosci przedsiebiorstwa jako zrodlo przewag konkurencyjnych i podstawa stosowanych instrumentow konkurowania (Competitive potential of the firm as a source of competitive advantage and a basis of instruments of competing), In: Budowanie potencjalu konkurencyjnosci przedsiebiorstwa (Building competitive potential of the enterprise), ed. M. J. Stankiewicz, Torun: TNOiK „Dom Organizatora”, 77-121.
- Gorynia M., Otta W.J.. (1998). Zachowania przedsiebiorstw poznanskich w okresie przejscia – gra konkurencyjna i pozycja rynkowa (Behaviour of Poznan enterprises during transition – competitive game and market position), Optimum – Studia Ekonomiczne, 1, 105-120.
- Grabowski T. (1994), Wzorzec rynkowego zachowania sie przedsiebiorstwa (Model of market behaviour of the enterprise). In: Droga do rynku. Aspekty mikroekonomiczne (The road to the market. Microeconomic aspects), ed. W.J. Otta, Poznan: Wydawnictwo AE, 171-183.
- Henry, N., Pinch S. (2002), Spatializing knowledge: Placing the knowledge community of Motor Sport Valley, in: A.S Huff, M. Jenkins, ed.: Mapping Strategic Knowledge, London, Sage, 137-169.
- Maskell, P. (2001), Towards knowledge-based theory of the geographical cluster, Industrial and Corporate Change, 10, 921-943.
- Morgan, K. (1997), The learning region: Institutions, innovation and regional renewal, Regional Studies, 31, 491-503.
- OECD (2005), Klastyry gospodarcze: promocja przedsiebiorczosci w Europie Srodkowej i Wschodniej (Economic Clusters: the Promotion of Entrepreneurship in Middle and East Europe) , Paris.
- Okrzesik, J. (2001), Eksporterzy mebli zaciskaja zeby..., Boss-Gospodarka, 28, 20.
- Porter, M.E. (1998), On competition, Boston, MA, Harvard Business School Publ.
- Romer, P.M. (1986), Increasing Returns and Long-Run Growth, Journal of Political Economy, 94, October, 1002-1037.
- Storper, M. (1993), Regional “worlds” of production: Learning and innovation in technology districts of France, Italy and the USA, Regional Studies, 27, 5, 433-456.
- Storper, M. (1995), The resurgence of regional economies, ten years later: The region as a nexus of untraded interdependencies, Journal of European Urban and Regional Studies, 2, 3, 191-221.
- Strykiewicz, T. (1999), Adaptacja przestrzenna przemyslu w Polsce w warunkach transformacji (Spatial Adaptation of Industry In Poland in Transformation Period), Poznan, UAM.
- Szultka, S., Wojnicka, E. (2003), Skupiska dzialalnosci inwestycyjnej w Polsce. Przypadek przedsiebiorstw automatyki przemyslowej w regionie gdanskim, Ekonomista, 4, 521-538.
- Tsai, D. H.A., Knowledge Spillovers and high-technology clustering: Evidence from Taiwan’s Hsinchu Science-Based Industrial Park, Contemporary Economic Policy, 23, 1, 116-128.

Zarzycka, M. (2005), Internacjonalizacja polskiej branży meblarskiej, in E. Najlepszy, ed.: Biznes międzynarodowy a internacjonalizacja gospodarki narodowej, Poznan, Wyd. AE Poznan, 389-396.

<http://www.paiz.gov.pl/index/?id=955cb567b6e38f4c6b3f28cc857fc38c> (Accessed 11.12.2006)